

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FW: (Case Ref: PL03042) Gatwick Airport  
**Date:** 14 April 2025 10:36:11  
**Attachments:** [REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 14 April 2025 10:08  
**To:** POCorrespondence <POCorrespondence@dft.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** (Case Ref: PL03042) Gatwick Airport

Dear Secretary of State,

A resident has asked me to raise this with you on their behalf.

Could you kindly provide a response that is suitable for sharing with my constituent?

Best wishes,

Peter

From: Mr MF  
Date: 27 February 2025 at 18:25:02 GMT  
[REDACTED]  
Subject: Gatwick Airport

Dear Heidi Alexander

May I ask, why are you considering approving the second runway at Gatwick when you have never visited the area to understand the local congestion, pollution and worn out existing infrastructure?

In case you are uninformed:

1. there is no more space available to expand the seven platforms at London Gatwick railway station.
2. there is no more road carriageway space on the M23 motorway which has been upgraded to a smart motorway in recent years.
3. local roads are already saturated to capacity and worn out (pot holes, bus lanes etc)

Any increased proceeds from Gatwick Airport will not benefit the local area nor the UK economy, but will only swell the coffers of the private equity and overseas investors of the airport.

Swindon is a considerable distance from Gatwick and will not be impacted by your remote decision.

Only one new runway should be considered in the London area and that should be at London Heathrow which has adequate public transport links.

I therefore welcome your reply and, in due course, your refusal to approve the go ahead for further runway capacity at London Gatwick Airport.

Yours  
Mr F  
Crawley Resident



**Office of Peter Lamb MP**  
Member of Parliament for Crawley



---

---

This email has originated from external sources and has been scanned by DfT's email scanning service.

---

---